

The Rise of Medium-Scale Farms in Africa: Causes and Consequences of Changing Farm Size Distributions

**Milu Muyanga, T. S. Jayne, Felix Kwame Yeboah, Antony
Chapoto, Nicholas Sitko**

Presentation at the Global Food Security Conference Symposium on “Changing
food systems and nutrition: do we have the concepts and data to understand,
track, and anticipate the links?”

December 3, 2017, Cape Town, South Africa





FEED THE FUTURE

The U.S. Government's Global Hunger & Food Security Initiative



Acknowledgements: The work highlighted here is jointly funded through the generous support of the American people through the United States Agency for International Development (USAID) under the Food Security Policy Innovation Lab and by the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation under the Guiding Investments in Sustainable Agricultural Intensification Grant to MSU.



Outline

1. Document how rapidly farm structure is changing
2. Characteristics of MS farmers
3. Causes
4. Consequences
5. Implications

Changes in farm structure in Tanzania (2008-2012), LSMS/National Panel Surveys

Farm size	Number of farms (% of total)		% growth in number of farms between initial and latest year	% of total operated land on farms between 0-100 ha		
	2008	2012		2008	2012	
0 – 5 ha	5,454,961 (92.8)	6,151,035 (91.4)	12.8	62.4	56.3	- 6.1%
5 – 10 ha	300,511 (5.1)	406,947 (6.0)	35.4	15.9	18.0	
10 – 20 ha	77,668 (1.3)	109,960 (1.6)	41.6	7.9	9.7	+ 6.1%
20 – 100 ha	45,700 (0.7)	64,588 (0.9)	41.3	13.8	16.0	
Total	5,878,840 (100%)	6,732,530 (100%)	14.5	100.0	100.0	

Changes in farm structure in Ghana (1992-2013)

Ghana	Number of farms		% growth in number of farms	% of total cultivated area	
	1992	2013		1992	2013
0-2 ha	1,458,540	1,582,034	8.5	25.1	14.2
2-5 ha	578,890	998,651	72.5	35.6	31.3
5-10 ha	116,800	320,411	174.3	17.2	22.8
10-20 ha	38,690	117,722	204.3	11.0	16.1
20-100 ha	18,980	37,421	97.2	11.1	12.2
>100 ha	--	1,740	-	--	3.5
Total	2,211,900	3,057,978	38.3	100	100

51.1%

Source: Ghana GLSS Surveys, 1992, 2013, Jayne et al., 2016, using data from Ghana GLSS Surveys I and IV.

Changes in farm structure in Zambia (2001-2012)

Farm size category	Number of farms		% growth in number of farms	% of total cultivated area	
	2001	2012		2001	2012
0 – 2 ha	638,118	748,771	17.3	34.1	16.2
2 – 5 ha	159,039	418,544	163.2	45	31.7
5 – 10 ha	20,832	165,129	692.6	14.3	25.0
10 – 20 ha	2,352	53,454	2272.7	6.6	15.0
20 – 100 ha	--	13,839	na	--	12.1
Total	820,341	1,399,737		100	100

52.1%

Source: Zambia MAL Crop Forecast Surveys, 2001 and 2012

Changes in farm size distributions: Summary


1. Number of small farms growing slowly
2. Share of area under small farms declining
3. Number of medium-scale farms growing rapidly
4. Share of area under medium-scale growing, and currently over 40% of farm holdings (> 25% of cultivated area)

Outline

1. Document how rapidly farm structure is changing
- 2. Characteristics of MS farmers**
3. Causes
4. Consequences
5. Implications


Rise of the medium-scale farmers

Three sub-categories of medium scale farmers (Kenya, Zambia, Ghana)




Rise of the medium-scale farmers

Three sub-categories of medium scale farmers: Kenya, Zambia, Ghana




Rise of the medium-scale farmers

Three sub-categories of medium scale farmers: Kenya, Zambia, Ghana



% of National Landholdings held by Urban Households



Source: Demographic and Health Surveys, various years between 2004-2014.

Type 1: Urban-based investor farmer

	Mode of entry to medium-scale farming status: acquire farm using non-farm income	
	Zambia	Kenya
	(n=164)	(n=180)
% of cases	58	60
% men	91.4	80
Year of birth	1960	1947
Years of education of head	11	12.7
Have held a job other than farmer (%)	100	83.3
Formerly /currently employed by the public sector (%)	59.6	56.7
Current landholding size (ha)	74.9	50.1
% of land currently under cultivation	24.7	46.6
Decade when land was acquired		
1969 or earlier	1.1	6
1970-79	5.1	18
1980-89	7.4	20
1990-99	23.8	32
2000 or later	63.4	25


Outline

1. Document how rapidly farm structure is changing
2. Characteristics of MS farmers
- 3. Causes**
4. Consequences
5. Implications

Causes of changing farm size distributions

1. Rise in world food prices – heightened investor interest in farmland
2. Urban farmer capture of land policy / farm lobbies
3. Scramble for land due to rapid population growth
 - Fragmentation/subdivision in areas of favorable mkt access
 - Land inheritance declining
 - Rising challenges of youth access to land → migration

Sub-Saharan Africa: only region of world where rural population continues to rise past 2050



Source: UN 2013

Outline

1. Document how rapidly farm structure is changing
2. Characteristics of MS farmers
3. Causes
- 4. Consequences**
5. Implications

Consequences of changing farm size distributions (++++)

1. Rising use of **mechanization**
2. More **capital** using/labor-saving forms of agricultural production
3. **Vent-for-surplus**
 - Raise agricultural output and create growth multipliers to agro-food industries
 - Medium-scale farm contributing a large share of **marketed surplus**
 - Selling to large grain traders, higher prices, reduced transaction costs
4. **Productivity** differences between small and medium-scale farms – limited evidence
 - But reasons to believe that capitalized and educated MS farms will be more productive

Consequences of changing farm size distributions (---)

5. Enclosure


- Elite use **control of state processes** to appropriate public lands for private benefit
- Growing **land scarcity** driven by middle/high income urban people seeking to acquire land

6. Rising **inequality** of farmland distribution

- Have medium & large farms expanded onto new land or displaced farms on existing land?
- Rising land prices → straining youth access to land

7. Is mechanization **displacing** agricultural employment?

Nominal value of tractor imports to Sub-Saharan Africa (excluding South Africa), 2001-2015



Outline

1. Document how rapidly farm structure is changing
2. Characteristics of MS farmers
3. Causes
4. Consequences
- 5. Implications**

Implications for policy

1. Agricultural productivity growth will be the cornerstone of any inclusive and comprehensive youth livelihoods strategy:
Influences-
 - Pace of labor force exit out of farming
 - Labor productivity in broader economy
2. Agricultural sector policies must anticipate and respond to:
 - Rising land prices, decline of inheritance, market as increasingly important mode of acquiring land
 - Resources needed for youth to succeed in farming (access to land, finance, etc.)
 - Distinguish between “trying to keep youth in agriculture” vs. “giving youth viable choices”

Tomorrow belongs to people who prepare
for it today

--African Proverb--

Thank You